

1 David S. Gingras, #021097  
2 **Gingras Law Office, PLLC**  
3 4802 E. Ray Road, #23-271  
4 Phoenix, AZ 85044  
5 Tel.: (480) 264-1400  
6 David@GingrasLaw.com

7  
8 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant  
9 Chai Research Corp.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Zhang JC, an individual,  
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,  
v.  
Chai Research Corp.,  
Defendant/Counterclaimant.

Case No. 24-cv-267-SMB

**NOTICE REGARDING  
PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT  
REPORT**

Defendant/Counterclaimant Chai Research Corp. (“Chai”) submits the following notice regarding the status of the Joint Proposed Case Management Report.

On February 23, 2024, this Court issued an order requiring the parties to, *inter alia*, meet and confer for the purpose of preparing a Joint Proposed Case Management Report. Because the Plaintiff in this matter, Zhang JC (“Plaintiff”) is *pro se*, on March 28, 2024, undersigned counsel for Defendant/Counterclaimant sent an email to Plaintiff asking when he/she was available to meet and confer, as ordered.

In addition, because Plaintiff is *pro se*, undersigned counsel also prepared a preliminary draft Proposed Case Management Report which was sent to Plaintiff via email that same day (March 28, 2024). A copy of the email to Plaintiff and the draft Proposed Case Management Report is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

1 Since March 28<sup>th</sup>, Plaintiff has not responded to the undersigned's email request to  
2 meet and confer. To follow up, today (April 1, 2024), the undersigned attempted to reach  
3 Plaintiff by telephone using the number shown on the Civil Cover Sheet filed by Plaintiff  
4 -- +8617629003107. This number appears to be in either China or Hong Kong (country  
5 code +86 is associated with China).

6 Upon calling Plaintiff's number, the phone appeared to ring normally for about 5-  
7 6 rings, and then the tone changed to a sound similar to a fast dial tone with beeps. This  
8 sound continued for an extended period. No voicemail greeting was heard, and no one  
9 ever answered. Based on the sounds heard, it appears the phone number provided by  
10 Plaintiff is not valid.

11 Under these circumstances, undersigned counsel was unable to meet and confer  
12 with Plaintiff regarding the Proposed Case Management Report. Despite this, and unless  
13 otherwise ordered by the Court, the undersigned intends to appear at the telephonic Case  
14 Management Conference set in this matter for April 8, 2024 at 9:15 AM.

15 DATED: April 1, 2024.

16 **GINGRAS LAW OFFICE, PLLC**  
17   
18 David S. Gingras, Esq.  
19 **Gingras Law Office, PLLC**  
20 4802 E. Ray Road, #23-271  
21 Phoenix, AZ 85044  
22 Tel.: (480) 264-1400  
23 David@GingrasLaw.com  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

22 Attorney for Defendant/Counterclaimant  
23 Chai Research Corp.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing document on April 1, 2024 via the Court's ECF system, and that paper copies were sent via U.S. Mail this date to:

Zhang JC  
Room A17, 29/F, The Grand Centre  
7 Shing Yip Street  
Kwun Tong, Kowloon  
Hong Kong

  
David S. Gingras, Esq.

David S. Gingras, Esq.

# Exhibit A

## David Gingras

---

**From:** David Gingras  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2024 11:04 AM  
**To:** zhangjc1999@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Case 2:24-cv-00267-SMB JC v. Chai Research Corporation Order  
**Attachments:** 9 - Order re Case Management Conference.pdf; Proposed Case Management Report.pdf; Proposed Case Management Report.doc

Sir/Ma'am (my apologies for not knowing which pronouns you prefer),

As you may know, I am the lawyer for Chai Research Corporation in the case you filed against Chai here in Arizona.

The court has issued an order (attached) which requires us to meet and confer at least 10 days prior to the case management conference which has been scheduled for April 8, 2024. Thus, we need to meet and confer ASAP to comply with the court's order.

Because you are the plaintiff, you would normally be responsible for preparing the initial draft of the case management report. However, because you are not a lawyer, I have prepared an initial draft of the report (attached). The PDF and Word document versions are identical.

As you can see, I have completed some parts of the report, but have marked other parts where your input is needed. There are also some other parts which I cannot complete until we have a chance to discuss this further.

Please let me know when you are available to discuss this further. I am available by phone any time today (Thursday) or tomorrow (Friday).

Please note the court has ordered us to file the joint report within 7 days prior to the hearing, which means this must be done by Monday, April 1, 2024.

If I do not hear from you before then, I will file a notice letting the court know that I attempted to discuss this with you, but was unable to do so.

David Gingras, Esq.  
Gingras Law Office, PLLC  
[David@GingrasLaw.com](mailto:David@GingrasLaw.com)  
<https://twitter.com/DavidSGingras>  
<http://gingraslaw.com>  
Tel.: (480) 264-1400  
Fax: (480) 248-3196



1 David S. Gingras, #021097  
2 **Gingras Law Office, PLLC**  
3 4802 E. Ray Road, #23-271  
4 Phoenix, AZ 85044  
5 Tel.: (480) 264-1400  
6 David@GingrasLaw.com

7  
8 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant  
9 Chai Research Corp.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Zhang JC, an individual,  
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,  
v.  
Chai Research Corp,  
Defendant/Counterclaimant.

Case No. 24-cv-267-SMB

**JOINT PROPOSED CASE  
MANAGEMENT REPORT**

Pursuant to this Court's February 23, 2024 Order (Doc. 9) (the "Order"), the  
Parties respectfully submit the following Joint Proposed Case Management Report.

**1. Counsel who attended the Rule 26(f) Conference and assisted in developing  
the Joint Proposed Case Management Report**

**a. Plaintiff/Counterdefendant**

[NEED RESPONSE]

**b. Defendant/Counterclaimant**

David S. Gingras, Esq.

**2. Parties in the Case**

**a. Plaintiff/Counterdefendant**

1 Zhang JC, an individual.

2 **b. Defendant/Counterclaimant**

3 Chai Research Corporation, a Delaware corporation.

4 **3. Nature of the Case**

5 The parties provide the following preliminary summary of the elements of the  
6 claims alleged and the affirmative defenses asserted. This is not intended to be a  
7 complete briefing of all issues; rather it is intended simply to identify and describe the  
8 general nature and character of the claims and defenses. Each party reserves the right to  
9 assert contentions of law or fact that may vary from this preliminary summary based  
10 upon additional research or analysis, developments in the case or developments in  
11 applicable law.

13 **a. Plaintiff's Position**

14 [NEED RESPONSE]

15 **b. Defendant's Position**

16 Counterclaimant Chai Research was incorporated in Delaware in January 2022  
17 and has quickly established a reputation for leading research and applications of chat  
18 dialogs with artificial intelligence. Chai Research publishes an artificial intelligence chat  
19 app under the mark "CHAI".

20 In September 2023, long after Chai Research had established a distinctive  
21 reputation and goodwill in "CHAI" for artificial intelligence chat software,  
22 Counterdefendant Zhang JC or person(s) acting in concert therewith, registered the  
23 domain name chai-ai.app via the registrar GoDaddy LLC in this judicial district. The  
24 registrant of chai-ai.app then proceeded to direct traffic to a web page advertising access  
25 to "Chai AI - Your Ultimate NSFW Chat Adventure" where the acronym for "not safe for  
26 work" indicates adult material. The page repeatedly referenced "CHAI AI", and further  
27 stated:  
28

1 "Does Chai AI have a website?

2 You can visit our website to learn more about their AI chat bot services,  
3 explore features, and access their platform for AI-driven conversations."

4 In November 2023, Counterclaimant Chai initiated a first UDRP proceeding  
5 against the chai-ai.app domain name. During the first UDRP proceeding, the behavior of  
6 the domain name chai-ai.app changed, and began to forward to a new domain name  
7 chainsfw.com, which was registered during the proceeding, on December 22, 2023.

8 In response to the new behavior of chai-ai.app and a second domain name also  
9 incorporating Counterclaimant's "CHAI" mark, with the addition of a common acronym  
10 for "not safe for work", Counterclaimant withdrew the first UDRP Proceeding and filed a  
11 second UDRP Proceeding against both domain names on December 28, 2023.

12 In the second UDRP Proceeding, the panel found:

13 The evidence in the present case gives rise to a clear inference that the  
14 Respondent [Zhang JC] by its use of the Disputed Domain Names was  
15 seeking to attract traffic to its own artificial intelligence based chat app as a  
16 result of confusion arising out of its use of the term "CHAI" within the  
17 Disputed Domain Names, given that term's association with the  
18 Complainant in relation to AI chat apps. The Panel finds that this was done  
19 for commercial gain – the Respondent's website contains the following text  
20 : "While Chai AI provides free access to its AI chat services, it may offer  
21 premium features or subscriptions for more advanced functionalities. Users  
22 can enjoy a basic level of interaction with Chai Bot for free, but additional  
23 features or services might come at a cost. It's essential to check Chai AI's  
24 specific pricing model to understand the extent of free and premium  
25 offerings". The Panel concludes that the Respondent's actions fall within  
26 Policy ¶ 4(b)(iv).

27 The Panel also agrees with the Complainant that the Respondent's  
28 registration of the Second Disputed Domain Name was likely done in  
anticipation of the Respondent losing the earlier UDRP proceeding in  
relation to the First Disputed Domain Name (see above) and was effected as  
a "fall back" strategy to allow the Respondent's activities to continue  
notwithstanding an adverse decision. That in the Panel's opinion provides a  
further basis for finding the Second Disputed Domain Name was within  
Policy ¶ 4(b)(iv) and more generally for showing the Respondent's

1 motivation in seeking to take advantage of the Complainant's CHAI  
2 trademark."

3 ...and accordingly ordered transfer of the domain names.

4 After the UDRP Panel found in favor of Counterclaimant Chai and ordered the  
5 domain names to be transferred, Plaintiff/Counterdefendant Zhang JC commenced this  
6 proceeding in District Court seeking to prevent the domain names from being transferred.  
7 In response, Chai has asserted a counterclaim for cyberpiracy/Cybersquatting

8 **4. Description of Factual and Legal Disputes**

9 **a. Plaintiff**

10 [NEED RESPONSE]

11 **b. Defendant**

12 [NEED TO DISCUSS]

13 **5. Jurisdictional Basis**

14 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, this Court has federal question jurisdiction because  
15 the claims at issue arise under federal law, specifically the Lanham Act 15 U.S.C. §  
16 1114(2)(D)(iv) and 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection  
17 Act 15 U.S.C. § 1114(2)(D)(v) and 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d).

18 **6. Unserved Parties**

19 None.

20 **7. Additional Parties/Amendments to Pleadings**

21 No additional parties are anticipated to be added by either party.

22 **8. Contemplated Motions and Statement of Issues to Be Decided by those**  
23 **Motions**

24 **a. Plaintiff**

25 [NEED RESPONSE].

26 **b. Defendant**

1 [NEED TO DISCUSS]  
2

3 **9. The Prospects for Settlement and Potential Methods for Conducting**  
4 **Settlement**

5 [NEED TO DISCUSS]  
6

7 **10. Suitability for Reference to Special Master or United States Magistrate**  
8 **Judge**

9 [NEED TO DISCUSS]  
10

11 **11. Status of Related Cases**

12 None.  
13

14 **12. Issues Relating to Preservation, Disclosure, or Discovery of**  
15 **Electronically Stored Evidence**

16 **a. Plaintiff**

17 [NEED RESPONSE]  
18

19 **b. Defendant**

20 None at this time.  
21

22 **13. Issues Relating to Claims of Privilege or Work Product**

23 The parties do not anticipate any issues at this time.  
24

25 **14. Necessary Discovery Within the Scope of Rule 26(b)(1)**

26 **a. Plaintiff**

27 *i. The extent, nature, and location of discovery anticipated by the*  
28 *parties and why it is proportional to the needs of the case.*

1 [NEED RESPONSE]

2

3       ii. *Suggested changes, if any, to the discovery limitations imposed by*  

4 *the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.*

5 None at this time.

6       iii. *Number of hours permitted for each deposition, unless extended by*  

7 *agreement of the parties.*

8 [NEED RESPONSE]

9       **b. Defendant**

10 None at this time.

11

12       **15. Proposed Timeline for Certain Deadlines**

13       **a. Plaintiff**

14 [NEED RESPONSE]

Event	Proposed Date
Amendment of Pleadings	
Last Day to Lodge Joint Protective Order	
Fact Discovery Cutoff	
Initial Expert Disclosures Due	
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Due	
Expert Discovery Cutoff	
Dispositive Motion Deadline	
Settlement Talk Deadline	
Joint Mediation Plan	
Proposed Trial Date	

1                   **b. Defendant**

2                   [NEED TO DISCUSS]

4 <b>Event</b>	5 <b>Proposed Date</b>
6                   Amendment of Pleadings	
7                   Last Day to Lodge Joint Protective Order	
8                   Fact Discovery Cutoff	
9                   Initial Expert Disclosures Due	
10                   Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Due	
11                   Expert Discovery Cutoff	
12                   Dispositive Motion Deadline	
13                   Settlement Talk Deadline	
14                   Joint Mediation Plan	
15                   Proposed Trial Date	

16

17                   **17.           Jury Request**

18                   [NEED TO DISCUSS]

19

20                   **18.           Estimated Length of Trial and Suggestions for Shortening Trial**

21                   **a. Plaintiff**

22                   [NEED RESPONSE]

23

24                   **b. Defendant**

25                   [NEED TO DISCUSS]

**19. Additional Suggestions, if any, to Expedite Disposition of this matter.**

The parties do not presently have suggestions for simplifying or expediting disposition of the case beyond the matters noted above.

DATED: April    2024.

## **GINGRAS LAW OFFICE, PLLC**

David S. Gingras, Esq.  
**Gingras Law Office, PLLC**  
4802 E. Ray Road, #23-271  
Phoenix, AZ 85044  
Tel.: (480) 264-1400  
David@GingrasLaw.com

Attorney for Defendant/Counterclaimant  
Chai Research Corp.

INGRAS LAW OFFICE, PLLC  
4802 E. RAY ROAD, #23-271  
PHOENIX, AZ 85044